

IFTA Clearinghouse Conference Call 03/13/2007

Present: Garry, Scott, Pat, Debbie, Jason, Lonette, Bill, Gary, and Scott G.

Absent: Randy

Garry welcomed the new board liaison, Scott Greenawalt.

Agenda

✦ Last meeting minutes:

- Garry stated that the paragraph beginning with "Funds netting", 4th line, have 10:00 eastern time changed to read 10:00 p.m. eastern standard time.

✦ Ballot: Distributed the latest draft yesterday (03/12/08) afternoon; discussed comments, questions, and/or concerns. The ballot basically adjusts the transmittal process to allow for a bit of additional time, 7 days, for jurisdictions to submit funds.

The committee members had concerns with item P1040, 2nd paragraph, stating that it was conflicting. It was suggested that this paragraph be split into two separate paragraphs; one addressing outgoing funds and one addressing incoming funds.

It was made clear that it is necessary to allow for three days for EFT's.

What if a jurisdiction fails to fund its obligation? It was determined that what is submitted will be distributed. Pat wondered how this would affect their accounting process.

It was agreed that in the event one or more jurisdictions fails to fund on time, there would be a timely distribution of available funds on a pro rata basis.

Upon receipt of all tardy funds, a second distribution would then be done. The other alternative is to have a jurisdiction submit a check. The general consensus

was to go ahead with a 2nd distribution, although a concern was voiced that it may not give jurisdictions the incentive to submit on time.

With regard to audit transmittals, at least to CH jurisdictions are not including their audits in their CH transmittals. Can we move forward if not all jurisdictions are participating fully? Yes, they will send their checks and if not submitted on time, it becomes a compliance issue. It was suggested they be contacted and urged to participate correctly to eliminate the need for them to key info.

Bill asked if it would be necessary to re-write or change the Access Agreement regarding the combined dues structure. The language could be eliminated or it could be re-written and clarified.

It was suggested that it may be beneficial to simply remove the language concerning dues from the Access Agreement.

Late Data: Roll over into next month; Scott wanted to know if anyone had a problem with him waiting @2 weeks before uploading late data to enable him to be able to differentiate between files.

✦ITAC: Scott provided an update on the ITAC committee

✦NLETS: Not much new on this front. Jason is still looking for volunteers to use roadside equipment. He has yet to find anyone who programs NLETS. This is a secondary project and moving at that pace.

The next call is April 24th at 10:00 eastern time.